

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,340	03/29/2004	Mahesan Chelvayohan	2003-0693.02	4148
7590 12/27/2005			EXAMINER	
Elizabeth C. Jacobs, Esq. Intellectual Property Law Lexmark International, Inc. 740 West New Circle Road, Bldg. 082			WILLIAMS, DON J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
Lexington, KY			DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M'				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/812,340	CHELVAYOHAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Don Williams	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNI	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{M}$	farch 29, 2004.	•				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>March 29, 2004</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the	nents have been received. nents have been received in A	Application No				
application from the International Bu		rreceived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<i>'</i>	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/812,340

Art Unit: 2878

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Courtney et al in view of Butler et al (4,950,905).

As to claims 1, 5, 9, Courtney et al disclose a media support surface (35), and a first normal line extending perpendicular to a plane of media support surface (35); a light source (31) positioned at a first angle with respect to first normal line, light source (31) producing a light beam; a light detector (33) positioned at a second angle with respect to first normal line, light source (31) and light detector (33) being positioned on opposite sides of first normal line, light detector (33) providing an output; a reflective surface (38) formed near media support surface (35), reflective surface (14, 38) being formed, light source (31) and light detector (33) being positioned in relation to reflective surface (14, 38) such that when a sheet of print media (18, 37) covers reflective surface (14, 38), a reflected specular light component of light beam is received by light detector (33), and when reflective surface (14, 38) is not covered, reflective surface (14, 38) directs the reflected specular light component of light beam away from light detector (33), output of light detector (33) providing an indication of a presence or an absence of sheet of print

media (18,37) wherein a signal strength of output from light detector (16) when receiving a diffuse light component reflected from reflective surface (14, 38) is less than the signal strength of output from said light detector (16) when receiving the reflected specular light component that is reflected from a low reflectance print media, (see figure 1, column 2, lines 55-67, figure 3, figure 4, column 3, lines 6-67). Courtney et al fail to explicitly teach a second normal line perpendicular to reflective surface and non parallel to first normal line corresponding to a third angle relative to the plane of media support surface. Butler et al disclose a second normal line perpendicular to reflective surface and non parallel to first normal line (15) corresponding to a third angle ( $\alpha$ ) relative to the plane of media support surface (10). It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art to modify Courtney et al to include a second normal line perpendicular to reflective surface and non parallel to first normal line (15) corresponding to a third angle (a) relative to the plane of media support surface (10) as disclosed by Butler et al in order to effectively improve the ability to discriminate and or distinguish between the diffused light component signal and the specular light component signal wherein the output signal is low indicative of the absence of no transparent media or paper corresponding to the diffused light component signal detected by detector (17) and the reflected specular light component or signal is high indicative of the presence of transparent media or paper detected by detector (16), (see figure 1, column 4, lines 26-67).

As to claims 2, 6, 10, Courtney et al disclose circuit gains, logic output and Schmitt trigger output that are functionally equivalent to the controller and optically

Application/Control Number: 10/812,340

Art Unit: 2878

connected to the light detectors. It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art to include output circuitry, such as circuit gains, logic output, and Schmitt trigger output as disclosed by Courtney et al to improve the optical communication and the distinguishing of the reflected specular signal and the diffuse light component signal in order to determine the presence or the absent of paper, (see figure 3, figure 4, column 3, lines 6-67).

As to claims 3, 7, 11, Courtney et al disclose low reflectance print media (18) having a diffuse finish, (see figure 1, column 2, lines 55-67).

As to claims 4, 8, 12, the modified Courtney et al disclose first angle and second angle being substantially equal, (see figure 1, column 4, lines 25-30).

Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Courtney et al (5, 139,339).

As to claim 13, Courtney et al disclose a reflective surface (14, 38) having a normal line extending perpendicular to reflective surface (14, 38); and a media sensor (30) having a centerline, media sensor (30) including a light source (31) and a light detector (33), light source (31) and light detector (32) being positioned on opposite sides of centerline, light source (31) producing a light beam, light detector (33) providing an output, light source (31) and light detector (33) being positioned with respect to reflective surface (14, 38). Courtney et al fail to explicitly disclose controller communicatively coupled to light detector to receive the output signals. It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art to include output circuitry, such as circuit

Art Unit: 2878

gains, logic output, and Schmitt trigger output as disclosed by Courtney et al to improve the optical communication and the distinguishing of the reflected specular signal and the diffuse light component signal wherein the output signal is low indicative of the absence of no transparent media or paper corresponding to the diffused light component signal detected by detector (17) and the reflected specular light component or signal is high indicative of the presence of transparent media or paper detected by detector (16), (see figure 1, column 4, lines 26-67).

As to claim 14, Courtney et al disclose media sensor (30) being positioned with respect to reflecting surface (14, 38) such that normal line of reflecting surface (14, 38) intersects a region between light source (12, 31) and light detector (16, 33), (see figure 3, figure 4, column 3, lines 6-67).

As to claim 15, Courtney et al disclose a media support surface (35), reflective surface (14, 36, 38) being positioned along media support surface (35) wherein reflective surface (14, 36, 38) being formed at an angle with respect to media support surface (35), (see figure 3, figure 4, column 3, lines 6-67, column, figure 5, column 4, lines 6-24).

As to claim 16, Courtney et al disclose sheet of print media (18) covers reflective surface (14), reflected specular light component of light beam is received by light detector (16), and when reflective surface (14) is not covered, reflective surface(14) directs reflected specular light component of light beam away from light detector (16), output of light detector (16) providing an indication of presence or absence of sheet of print media (18), (see figure 3, column 3, lines 6-30).

Art Unit: 2878

As to claim 17, Courtney et al disclose low reflectance print media (18) having a diffuse finish, (see figure 1, column 2, lines 55-67).

As to claim 18, Courtney et al disclose light detector (31) as being the sole light detector in media sensor (30), (see figure 4, column 3, lines 30-40).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Don Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-8538. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30a.m. to 5:30a.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner